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INTRODUCTION

Mangrove forests are inherently dynamic and are often regarded as complex social-ecological systems. Being located at the interface between the land and the sea, they need to keep up with changes in sea-level (Saintilan et al., 2020) and other gradual or extreme events to be successful, and so do mangrove rehabilitation and restoration (hereafter referred to as “R/R”) initiatives. However, both the starting condition before R/R activities and the evaluation of their success, may be subject to misconceptions or at least require special attention. We highlight three such points of attention that are seldomly, if ever, emphasised in the scientific literature: shifted baselines, faunal assemblages and human poverty and uncertainty.

SHIFTED BASELINES

Numerous R/R targets or mangrove health assessments, unfortunately, rely on the earliest remote sensing imagery available or on past vegetation data to establish which areas sustainably rejuvenate and which must be restored (Wang et al., 2019). Dated years to decades ago, the site conditions documented in these previous studies do not necessarily represent anymore a reliable baseline. A wide suite of anthropogenic impacts, including forest and beach encroachment and other forms of land reclamation (Richards and Friess, 2016; Goldberg et al., 2020) and river diversion (Dahdouh-Guebas et al., 2005), are known to affect mangroves to the extent that referring to historic mangrove presence in areas that are emerged or submerged nowadays would constitute false pretence upon which to attempt successful R/R (Kodikara et al., 2017; Chakraborty et al., 2019).

We recognise that in pathways of R/R a synergism exists between (i) mangrove vegetation succession, (ii) anthropogenic drivers of change, and (iii) shifted baselines. Vegetation succession
in mangroves involves rapid or slow changes from pioneering species to climax vegetation and their complex interactions with the natural environment (Berger et al., 2006). Biotic and abiotic drivers, including for example plant-animal interactions (Cannicci et al., 2008), changes in sea-level and geomorphology (Ellison, 2019; Rogers et al., 2019), or extreme events (Abhik et al., 2021) can return a mangrove forest back to a previous successional stage. In addition, anthropogenic drivers of rapid or gradual ongoing change can strongly interact with development, growth and succession of mangrove plant communities (Dahdouh-Guebas and Koedam, 2002). But we draw specific attention to the shifted baselines, which forms a third type of complexity. We advocate that mangrove R/R targets should rely on the local conditions at the time of restoration and should be planned with the intention to build resilience under certain and sometimes even imminent change. In addition to these shifted or shifting baselines, the complexity of mangroves warrants that R/R targets transcend mere numbers of seedlings planted in Guinness World Records style (Guinness World Records, 2012). Instead, they should integrate objectively verifiable indicators of ecosystem functioning and service provision, including proxies of thriving or deteriorating faunistic assemblages (e.g., macrobenthos) and human communities (e.g., poverty, land tenure conflicts).

**CRABS CANNOT BE PLANTED**

Mangroves do not only host a unique tree diversity but an equally unique set of resident and visiting faunal communities such as mangrove crab assemblages that are adapted to intertidal life. These macrobenthic communities act as ecosystem engineers and enhance the oxygenation and bioturbation of the soil, which in turn contributes to habitat creation through soil accretion, composition and biogeochemistry and to viability of mangrove trees and associated organisms. The faunal components are thus critical for mangrove ecosystem service provision.

We emphasise that the presence of viable faunal assemblages, and by extension other biotic ecosystem components, is the result of a slow recruitment conditional of successful R/R of mangrove vegetation, i.e., they are not “planted” along with the trees. Considering their redundancy-vulnerability relationship (Figure 1), a lack of recruitment of invertebrate fauna, leading to
a low diversity, could result in significant negative consequences in the viability and resilience of rehabilitated mangrove forests, and cascading effects for adjacent ecosystems (Cannicci et al., 2021). Therefore, R/R targets should consider the selection of sites connected to healthy mangroves in which faunal communities can act as source populations. The latter is an example of panarchy, known to play an important role in the resilience of an ecosystem through the so-called “remember” process. This process enables undisturbed adjacent forest patches to help a focal recovering patch “remember” what a functional mangrove forest is (Dahdouh-Guebas et al., 2021). However, shifted baselines could make it impossible to reach R/R targets in terms of macrobenthic recruitment, for example in areas that are continuously flooded (Nehru and Balasubramanian, 2018).

**MANGROVE RESTORATION IS NOT ALWAYS A PRIORITY FOR POOR PEOPLE**

In current times of change and uncertainty, people living within and adjacent to mangrove forests are often struggling to make a living. Quite often, mangrove conservation, or restoration, are unfortunately not a priority under these poverty conditions, bringing daily food to the family and enhanced well-being is (Kibria et al., 2019)! Even when local villagers recognise the benefits of mangrove ecosystem functions, goods and services, projects that bring economic security, however short-term they might be, have been prioritised over mangrove conservation in some countries (Foell et al., 1999; Dahdouh-Guebas et al., 2002; Richards and Friess, 2016). Uncertainty about uncontrollable events such as climate change, natural hazards and the COVID-19 pandemic further contribute to poverty on the one hand and to lack of motivation to practise conservation and R/R activities on the other hand (Vandebroek et al., 2020; Boongaling Agaton and Azcuna Collera, 2022).

In addition to the community ecological “health” considerations in terms of flora, fauna and microbiota, the socio-economic setting should equally be healthy and resilient. Community-based R/R efforts only make sense if the social, human and financial capital to maintain and renew the recovering sites are guaranteed. However, as evidenced from peer-reviewed literature, the latter forms of capital are among the least studied in mangrove social-ecological systems (Faridah-Hanum et al., 2019). Documentation of cash-flow profiling of mangrove goods (Satyanarayana et al., 2021) or of land tenure conflicts (Lovelock and Brown, 2019) are a few examples of social science studies that may help reveal and avoid social conflicts in mangrove R/R initiatives.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

Mangrove shorelines are essential for human well-being, but despite conservation optimism (Friess et al., 2020), efforts to restore them in a holistic framework have been largely unsuccessful. We emphasise that “before and after”-assessments are paramount in the success of R/R initiatives, yet they often remain disregarded. We advocate that R/R projects should always include the following prior assessments:

- a thorough assessment of current ecological and environmental conditions that enable mangrove trees to grow in the R/R sites selected for (re)afforestation;
- a nearby source site from which newly planted mangroves can recruit associated invertebrates, but also micro-organisms and even mangrove propagules from non-planted species;
- a social, human and financial capital aimed at medium to long-term resilience.
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